

Figure 1: Some binary trees

Worksheet: Structural induction

Unless otherwise states you may assume that expressions only use numerals, and the operation +.

(1) Consider the set of binary trees BN discussed in the lectures:

bTree ::= leaf | Branch(bTree, bTree)

- (a) Use structural induction to define a function nodes : BN → N which counts the (total) number of nodes in a binary tree.
 This function should be defined so that nodes(T₁) = 5 and nodes(T₂) = 9.
- (b) Use structural induction to define a function height : BN → N which returns the *height* of a binary tree.
 The *height* of a binary tree is the longest path from the root to a leaf. So height(T₁) should be 2 while height(T₂) should be 3.
 Note: The binary tree with only one node has height 0.
- (c) Use structural induction to prove that $nodes(T) \le 2^{height(T)+1} 1$ for every binary tree *T*.
- (2) Define inductively a function plusses from expressions to numbers such that plusses(E) is the number of + symbols in the expression *E*.
- (3) Let nums be the function from expressions to numbers such that nums(E) is the number of numerals in *E*. Give an inductive definition of nums.

Then prove, by **structural** induction, that plusses(E) < nums(E).

(4) Consider the following grammar for *binary numerals BinNum*:

b ::= 0 | 1 | b0 | b1

(a) Explain the way in which functions over binary numerals can be defined by structural induction.

Questions

Use this principle to define the function number : $BinNum \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ which returns the natural number which a binary numeral represents. For example you should have

$$number(101) = 5$$

 $number(001111) = 15$

Also define the function sum : $BinNum \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ which simply sums up the value of all the digits in a binary numeral. For example

$$sum(101) = 2$$

 $sum(001111) = 4$

- (b) Explain the principle of structural induction for binary numerals.
 Use structural induction to prove that sum(b) ≤ number(b) for every binary numeral b.
- (5) Prove that for every expression *E* if both $E \Downarrow n$ and $E \Downarrow n'$ then n = n'.

Use induction on the **structure** of the expression *E* and lay out your proof so that the inductive hypothesis is clear. In other words the statement to be proved should be expressed in the form P(E) where P(-) is the property to be proved by structural induction on expressions.

(6) Prove that for all expressions *E*, *F*, if E →_{lr}ⁿ E' then E + F →_{lr}ⁿ E' + F. You should prove this by *mathematical induction* on *n*. In other words let *P*(*n*) be the property

$$E \rightarrow_{\operatorname{lr}}{}^{n} E'$$
 implies $E + F \rightarrow_{\operatorname{lr}}{}^{n} E' + F$

You have to show that P(n) is true for every natural number n.

- (7) Prove that for all expressions *E* and numerals m, if $E \rightarrow_{lr}{}^{n}E'$ then $m + E \rightarrow_{lr}{}^{n}m + E'$. Follow the instructions for the previous question. Lay out the proof so that the property being proved, and and applications of the inductive hypothesis is perfectly clear.
- (8) Use the previous two results to show that $E_1 \rightarrow_{lr} n_1$ and $E_2 \rightarrow_{lr} n_2$ implies $E_1 + E_2 \rightarrow_{lr} n$, where $n = n_1 + n_2$.
- (9) Prove that $E \Downarrow n$ implies $E \to_{lr}^* n$. Here you should use *structural induction* on *E*.
- (10) Show that the small-step semantics we gave in our lectures has the property that whenever $E \rightarrow E'$, plusses(E) = plusses(E') + 1. This shows that each step of our semantics deals with exactly one + operation.
- (11) Prove, by induction on the **structure** of expressions, that for any expression E,

if *E* is not a numeral, then $E \to E'$ for some E'.

Again you should consider the language with numerals and + only.

Questions

- (12) Combine the above two observations to argue that for any expression *E*, there is a numeral n such that $E \rightarrow^* n$.
- (13) Give a similar argument for the larger language incorporating \times as well as +.
- (14) (Rule induction) Consider the inductive system defined by the following three rules:

(AX)	(LESS)	(sw)
	$(m, n - m, k) \in \text{GCD}$	$(n, m, k) \in \text{GCD}$
$(n+1, 0, n+1) \in \text{GCD}$	$(m, n, k) \in \text{GCD}$	$(m, n, k) \in \text{GCD}$

- Prove, using Rule induction, that if $(m, n, k) \in \text{GCD}$ then at least one of m and n is non-zero.
- Prove, using Rule induction, that if (*m*, *n*, *k*) ∈ GCD then *k* divides *m* and *k* divides *n* exactly.

Questions