Bioinformatics Algorithms

(Fundamental Algorithms, module 2)

Zsuzsanna Lipták

Masters in Medical Bioinformatics academic year 2017/18, spring term

Scoring Matrices

Scoring matrices

- Scoring matrix S of dimension 20×20 (for protein), also possible: dim. 4×4 (for DNA)
- S_{ab} gives the similarity of a and b
- · Similarity could be defined by
 - 1. similarity of codon (DNA-level), e.g. $\min\{dist_{Hamming}(xyz,uvw): xyz \text{ codon for a and } uvw \text{ codon for } b\}$
 - 2. physico-chemical properties (hydrophobicity, size, basic/acidic, ...)
 - 3. based on empirical data: How frequently do we observe this change?
- PAM matrices: Scoring matrices based on empirical data (Margret Dayhoff, 1978)
- PAM = Point Accepted Mutation (or: Percent Accepted Mutation)

More complex scoring functions

Until now:

- match, mismatch, gap (linear gap functions)
- match, mismatch, gap open, gap extend (affine gap functions)
- i.e. f(a, b) depends only on a = b or $a \neq b$

But:

- ullet For protein sequences, better to differentiate between different pairs of AAs a and b, i.e. depending on how close / how different they are.
- Reason: homologous proteins often have different AAs in same position. If only match/mismatch are evaluated, then many homologous proteins are not found.

So now:

- f(a, b) depends on a and b
- necessarily: f(a, b) = f(b, a) (symmetry)

2 / 14

Basic idea:

3 / 14

- $S_{ab}>0$: probability that b has mutated into a at this evolutionary distance is greater than chance
- $S_{ab} = 0$: the two probabilities are equal (we cannot say anything)
- $S_{ab} < 0$: probability that b has been aligned to a by chance is greater than the probability that this is a true mutation

4 / 14

Basic idea:

- $S_{ab}>0$: probability that b has mutated into a at this evolutionary distance is greater than chance
- $S_{ab}=0$: the two probabilities are equal (we cannot say anything)
- S_{ab} < 0: probability that b has been aligned to a by chance is greater than the probability that this is a true mutation

Meaning of "by chance":

- We are comparing two probabilities
- prob1: that a and b are aligned together because there has been a series of mutations changing b into a
- prob2: that a and b have been aligned together by chance (e.g. if in the database all sequences consist only of a's, then the probability that a is there in a random alignment is 1)

PAM scoring matrices

- family of matrices: PAMk (for any $k \ge 1$), common are PAM40, PAM120, PAM250
- PAMk: k is the evolutionary distance between the sequences to be scored; needs to be guessed before scoring
- higher k: applied to more distant / less closely related sequences / species
- the scoring matrix PAMk is not a probability matrix
- it is based on a probability matrix

4 / 14 5 / 14

Mutation probability matrix

- Dayhoff et al. generated mutation probability matrix M (PAM1 mutation matrix) based on empirical data: a large set of aligned sequences which are known to be homologous (trusted alignments)
- $M_{ab} = \text{probability that AA } b \text{ will change into AA } a \text{ in one time step}^1$
- this probability is only estimated, based on observed data
- one time step = 1 PAM unit evolutionary distance = 1 mutation every 100 AAs on average
- sum over each column = 1: ∑_{a∈Σ} M_{ab} = 1 (M is the transpose of a probability transition matrix)

6 / 14

Mutation probability at higher distances: M^k

- How about the probability that b changes into a in 2 steps?
- possibilities are:

time step 1	time step 2
b o a	a unchanged
b unchanged	b o a
$c \neq a, b: b \rightarrow c$	c o a

7 / 14

Mutation probability at higher distances: M^k

- How about the probability that b changes into a in 2 steps?
- possibilities are:

time step 1	time step 2
b o a	a unchanged
b unchanged	b o a
$c \neq a, b: b \rightarrow c$	c o a

- Prob(b changes into a in 2 steps) $= M_{ab} \cdot M_{aa} + M_{bb} \cdot M_{ab} + \sum_{c \neq a,b} M_{cb} M_{ac} = \sum_{c \in \Sigma} M_{ac} M_{cb} = M_{ab}^2$
- M_{ab}^2 is just the entry a,b, i.e. row a and column b, of the product matrix $M^2=M\cdot M$ (matrix multiplication)²
- in general: M^k contains the probabilities for k steps, i.e. $M^k_{\ ab}=$ prob. that b has mutated into a after k steps

7 / 14

Computation of the scoring matrices

- the PAM scoring matrices are "log-odds" matrices
 - odds: compare two probabilities
 - $\bullet \ \, \text{log: take the logarithm (product} \to \text{sum)}$

8 / 14

Computation of the scoring matrices

- the PAM scoring matrices are "log-odds" matrices
 - odds: compare two probabilities
 - $\bullet \ \, \mathsf{log: take \ the \ logarithm \ (product \rightarrow \mathsf{sum})}$
- PAMk scoring matrix:
 - take M^k
 - M^k_{ab} = Prob(b changed into a in k steps)
 - compare to: Prob(a is there by chance) = p_a p_a = relative frequency of a, e.g. if the DB is: $\{aabc, abca\}$, then $p_a = 1/2, p_b, p_c = 1/4$
- take log (base 10), multiply by 10 (for nicer numbers), round to nearest integer:

$$S_{ab} = 10 \cdot \log_{10}(\frac{M_{ab}^k}{p_a})$$
 rounded to nearest int.

Computation of the scoring matrices

$$S_{ab} = 10 \cdot \log_{10}(\frac{M_{ab}^k}{p_a})$$

$$\frac{M_{ab}^k}{p_a} \qquad \begin{cases} > 1 & \text{if } M_{ab}^k > p_a \\ = 1 & \text{if } M_{ab}^k = p_a \\ < 1 & \text{if } M_{ab}^k < p_a \end{cases}$$

8 / 14 9 / 14

¹a bit unusual that they put the original AA in the columns and not in the rows, as is common in probability transition matrices

²and not the real number M_{ab} squared!

²and not the real number M_{ab} squared!

Computation of the scoring matrices

$$S_{ab} = 10 \cdot \log_{10}(\frac{M_{ab}^k}{p_a})$$

$$\frac{M_{ab}^k}{p_a} \qquad \begin{cases} > 1 & \text{if } M_{ab}^k > p_a \\ = 1 & \text{if } M_{ab}^k = p_a \\ < 1 & \text{if } M_{ab}^k < p_a \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$S_{ab} \begin{cases} > 0 & \text{if } M_{ab}^k > p_a \\ = 0 & \text{if } M_{ab}^k = p_a \\ < 0 & \text{if } M_{ab}^k < p_a \end{cases} \text{ i.e. if they are equal}$$

Note that scoring matrices are symmetrical (but not the prob. matrices).

/ 14

PAM 250 Matrix

Why use logarithm?

We use logarithms for computational reasons:

- since log is strictly monotonically increasing, one can replace all \boldsymbol{x} with $\log \boldsymbol{x}$
- products of probs \rightarrow sums of log-of-probs
- easier to compute sums than products of very small numbers (note that all probabilities are between 0 and 1): reduce rounding errors

Two caveats

PAM matrices use two silent assumptions:

- mutations (changes) of AAs happen independently (i.e. independent of context): scoring by individual columns
- uses an evolutionary model: k distance = k identical steps (i.e. with same probabilites)

11 / 14

BLOSUM matrices

BLOSUM scoring matrices (Henikoff and Henikoff, 1992)

- other family of commonly used scoring matrices
- remedies second issue: uses no underlying evolutionary model
- same principle as PAM matrices, but:
- used different sets of aligned sequences for different distances
- BLOSUM m = only used sequences that had m% identity or less
- higher number = closer related
- common: BLOSUM 45, 62, 80; BLOSUM62 ~ PAM120

Summary

PAM matrices

- allow scoring different AA pairs according to evolutionary relatedness
- different PAMk acc. to evolutionary distance
- all modern AA scoring matrices are based on empirical data: observed frequencies in trusted alignment data
- the probabilities are estimated probabilites of AAs (from the data)
- mutation probability matrix M (1 step = 1 PAM unit)

 → M^k mutation probability matrix for k steps (k PAM units)

 → PAMk scoring matrix S (log-odds matrix)
- $\bullet \ \ higher \ number = less \ related = more \ distant$
- commonly used: PAM40, PAM120, PAM160, PAM250
- ullet k in PAMk needs to be decided before scoring
- BLOSUM: similar to PAM but higher number = more related

14 / 14

13 / 14