

# Twist equivalence arising from a (partially) minimal projective resolution.



Marina Godinho

## Introduction

Given an object  $X$  in a Frobenius exact category satisfying mild conditions, this work constructs a derived autoequivalence of the endomorphism algebra of  $X$ . The idea is that properties of the stable endomorphism algebra of  $X$  are sufficient to specify properties of  $X$  and of its endomorphism algebra. As an application, it is possible to obtain a new non-trivial derived autoequivalence for very singular varieties.

## Setup

**Setup 1.** Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be an  $\mathcal{R}$ -linear Frobenius exact category with an object  $P$  such that  $\text{add } P = \text{proj } \mathcal{E}$ . The stable category  $\mathcal{D}$  is triangulated and write its suspension functor as  $\Sigma$ .

Assume in addition that  $\mathcal{R}$  be a Cohen-Macaulay noetherian local ring of dimension  $d$  with coefficient field  $k$  and canonical module  $\omega_{\mathcal{R}}$ .

**Setup 2.** With the assumptions as in 1, let  $X \in \mathcal{E}$  be such that

1. The object  $P$  is a summand of  $X$ ,
2.  $X$  has infinite projective dimension in  $\mathcal{E}$ ,
3.  $\text{add}_{\mathcal{D}}(X) \subset \mathcal{D}$  is Krull-Schmidt,
4.  $X$  is basic in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

**Notation 3.** With the  $X$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  as in Setup 2, let

1.  $\Lambda := \text{End}_{\mathcal{E}}(X)$  and  $\Lambda_{\text{con}} = \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{E}}(X)$ .
2.  $[\text{proj } \mathcal{E}]$  is the ideal in  $\Lambda$  of maps  $X \rightarrow X$  which factor through a projective object in  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Under set up 2,  $\Lambda$  has the dualizing complex

$$\omega_{\Lambda} := \mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\Lambda, \omega_{\mathcal{R}}).$$

## Partially minimal projective resolution

**Notation 4.** Let  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $X$  be as in setup 2. let

$$\mathcal{S} = \bigoplus_i S_i$$

where  $\{S_i\}_i$  is representative set of simple  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}$ -modules.

**Definition 5** (Donovan, Wemyss [DW19]).  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}$  is  $d$ -relatively spherical if  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^i(\Lambda_{\text{con}}, \mathcal{S}) = 0$  for all  $i \neq 0, d$ .

**Definition 6.** Let  $X \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $n < 0$ . We say that  $X$  is  $n$ -rigid if  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{D}}^i(X, X) = 0$  for all  $n \leq i \leq -1$ .

**Theorem 7.** Let  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $X$  be as in setup 2 with  $d \geq 3$ . If  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}$  is self-injective and  $\Lambda_{\text{con}} \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} \omega_{\Lambda} \cong \Lambda_{\text{con}}$ , then the following are equivalent

1.  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}$  is perfect over  $\Lambda$  and  $d$ -relatively spherical.
2.  $\Sigma^{-d+1}X \cong X$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $X$  is  $(-d+2)$ -rigid in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

**The key point** in the proof of theorem 7 is that any  $X \in \mathcal{E}$  which satisfies the assumptions of theorem 7 is such that  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}$  admits the following projective resolution

$$0 \rightarrow Q_d \oplus \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(X, X) \xrightarrow{f_d} Q_{d-1} \xrightarrow{f_{d-1}} Q_{d-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Q_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} Q_0 \oplus \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(X, X) \xrightarrow{f_0} \Lambda_{\text{con}} \rightarrow 0$$

where  $Q_i \in \text{add Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(X, P)$  and  $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(f_i, \mathcal{S}) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$ .

## Autoequivalence

**Notation 8.** Fix  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $-d+2 \leq k \leq 0$ . We denote

1. the algebra  $\Lambda_{\Sigma^k} := \text{End}_{\mathcal{E}}(P \oplus \Sigma^k X)$ ,
2. the  $\Lambda_{\Sigma^{k-1}}\text{-}\Lambda_{\Sigma^k}$  bimodules

$$T_k := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(P \oplus \Sigma^k X, P \oplus \Sigma^{k-1} X).$$

**Corollary 9.** For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $-d+2 \leq k \leq 0$ , the  $\Lambda_{\Sigma^{k-1}}\text{-}\Lambda_{\Sigma^k}$  bimodule  $T_k$  is tilting.

Therefore, the functors

$$\Phi_k : \mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_{\Lambda_{\Sigma^k}}(T_k, -) : D^b(\text{mod } \Lambda_{\Sigma^k}) \rightarrow D^b(\text{mod } \Lambda_{\Sigma^{k-1}})$$

for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $-d+2 \leq k \leq 0$  are equivalences and, thus, so is their composition

$$\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(T_{-d+2} \otimes_{\Lambda_{\Sigma^{-d+2}}}^{\mathbf{L}} T_{-d+3} \otimes_{\Lambda_{\Sigma^{-d+3}}}^{\mathbf{L}} \cdots \otimes_{\Lambda_{\Sigma^{-1}}}^{\mathbf{L}} T_0, -) : D^b(\text{mod } \Lambda) \rightarrow D^b(\text{mod } \Lambda_{\Sigma^{-d+1}}).$$

Now since  $\Sigma^{-d+1}X \cong X$ , this composition is a derived autoequivalence of  $\Lambda$  which turns out to be functorially isomorphic to the noncommutative twist functor

$$\mathcal{T} := \mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}([\text{proj } \mathcal{E}], -) : D^b(\text{mod } \Lambda) \rightarrow D^b(\text{mod } \Lambda).$$

defined by Donovan and Wemyss [DW16].

## References

- [DW16] W. Donovan and M. Wemyss. Noncommutative deformations and flops. *Duke Math. J.* 165.8 (2016), pp. 1397–1474.
- [DW19] W. Donovan and M. Wemyss. Noncommutative enhancements of contractions. *Advances in Mathematics* 344 (2019), pp. 99–136.