Topological Quantum Computation A very basic introduction

Alessandra Di Pierro alessandra.dipierro@univr.it

Dipartimento di Informatica Università di Verona

PhD Course on Quantum Computing

Part II





2 Universality of TQC

- Universality of TQC: Simulating QC with Braids
- Universality of TQC: Simulating Braids with QC

A model of anyons is defined by specifying:

• A finite label set $\{a, b, c, ...\}$ representing anyon charges

- A finite label set $\{a, b, c, \ldots\}$ representing anyon charges
- The fusion rules $a \times b = \sum_{c} N_{ab}^{c} c$

- A finite label set $\{a, b, c, \ldots\}$ representing anyon charges
- The fusion rules $a \times b = \sum_{c} N_{ab}^{c} c$
- The *F*-matrix (expressing associativity of fusion)

- A finite label set $\{a, b, c, \ldots\}$ representing anyon charges
- The fusion rules $a \times b = \sum_{c} N_{ab}^{c} c$
- The *F*-matrix (expressing associativity of fusion)
- The *R*-matrix (braiding rules)

Fusion

Fusion Rules express the interaction of two anyons:



Fusion

Fusion Rules express the interaction of two anyons:

a



F-matrix encodes the associativity of the fusion rules:



$$(a \times b) \times c = a \times (b \times c)$$

Braiding Operator

Braiding Two neighboring anyons are exchanged counterclockwise:



Braiding Operator

Braiding Two neighboring anyons are exchanged counterclockwise:



R-matrix Unitary matrix representing the swapping

Braiding Operator

Braiding Two neighboring anyons are exchanged counterclockwise:



R-matrix Unitary matrix representing the swapping*B*-matrix Since two particles may have no direct fusion channel,*B* is in general a composition of *R* and *F* matrices.

Consider an abstract quantity, call it a topological charge.

Consider an abstract quantity, call it a topological charge. Only two possible values:

Consider an abstract quantity, call it a topological charge. Only two possible values:

• 1 is the charge of the vacuum

Consider an abstract quantity, call it a topological charge. Only two possible values:

- 1 is the charge of the vacuum
- au is the charge of any single Fibonacci anyon.

Consider an abstract quantity, call it a topological charge. Only two possible values:

- 1 is the charge of the vacuum
- au is the charge of any single Fibonacci anyon.



Consider an abstract quantity, call it a topological charge. Only two possible values:

- 1 is the charge of the vacuum
- au is the charge of any single Fibonacci anyon.



We need to specify the *F*-matrix and *R*-matrix.



One particle in the vacuum: The (enclosed) total charge is τ .



One particle in the vacuum: The (enclosed) total charge is τ .

For two particles, we apply the fusion rules. The resulting total charge can be either 1 or τ . \Rightarrow space dimension dim = 2



One particle in the vacuum: The (enclosed) total charge is τ .

For two particles, we apply the fusion rules. The resulting total charge can be either 1 or τ . \Rightarrow space dimension dim = 2





One particle in the vacuum: The (enclosed) total charge is τ .

For two particles, we apply the fusion rules. The resulting total charge can be either 1 or τ . \Rightarrow space dimension dim = 2



Note: The storing of information is **non-local**!



What is the total charge resulting from the fusion of three particles?



What is the total charge resulting from the fusion of three particles?



What is the total charge resulting from the fusion of three particles?





3-dimensional Hilbert space







 $a = 1, \tau$

 $b = 1, \tau$



 $a = 1, \tau$

 $b = 1, \tau$







Base change : F-matrix





 $b = 1, \tau$



 $a = 1, \tau$

 $b = 1, \tau$

$$\mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{00} & F_{01} \\ F_{10} & F_{11} \end{bmatrix}$$

Base Change

The only two non trivial cases:



Base Change

The only two non trivial cases:



The Case of $n \ge 4$ Particles

. . . .








Equivalent to





Equivalent to





Equivalent to















How many different ways to go from one base to the other?





The pentagon equation is obtained by imposing the condition that the above diagram commutes.



The only non-trivial case is the one with five τ 's at the outer edges.



The only non-trivial case is the one with five τ 's at the outer edges. The explicit equation for Fibonacci anyons is:

$$(F_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau})^d_a(F_{\tau}^{a\tau\tau})^c_b = (F_d^{\tau\tau\tau})^c_e(F_{\tau}^{\taue\tau})^d_b(F_b^{\tau\tau\tau})^e_a$$



The unique unitary solution (up to irrelevant phase factor) is the unitary matrix (set b = c = 1 and use unitarity):

$$\mathcal{F} = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi^{-1} & \varphi^{-1/2} \\ \varphi^{-1/2} & -\varphi^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \text{ where } \varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$$



The unique unitary solution (up to irrelevant phase factor) is the unitary matrix (set b = c = 1 and use unitarity):

 $\mathcal{F} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \varphi^{-1} & \varphi^{-1/2} \\ \varphi^{-1/2} & -\varphi^{-1} \end{array} \right] \text{ where } \varphi \text{ is the golden mean } \varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$



Note that for any number n > 4 of particles, any base change can always be reduced to paths on the diagram above and if the pentagon equation is satisfied, then the computation is correct.

The dimension of the Hilbert space of n Fibonacci anyons is a consequence of the fusion rules of this model.

The dimension of the Hilbert space of n Fibonacci anyons is a consequence of the fusion rules of this model. Multifusion paths represented by the labelings of the fusion tree form an orthonormal basis of the degenerate ground-state manifold.

The dimension of the Hilbert space of n Fibonacci anyons is a consequence of the fusion rules of this model.

Multifusion paths represented by the labelings of the fusion tree form an orthonormal basis of the degenerate ground-state manifold.

$$1 \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow \tau \qquad \cdots$$

• Consider $n \tau$ -anyons in the plane with total charge τ

The dimension of the Hilbert space of n Fibonacci anyons is a consequence of the fusion rules of this model.

Multifusion paths represented by the labelings of the fusion tree form an orthonormal basis of the degenerate ground-state manifold.

$$1 \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow \tau \qquad \cdots$$

• Consider $n \tau$ -anyons in the plane with total charge τ

• Denote by \mathbf{F}_n the ground state degeneracy

The dimension of the Hilbert space of n Fibonacci anyons is a consequence of the fusion rules of this model.

Multifusion paths represented by the labelings of the fusion tree form an orthonormal basis of the degenerate ground-state manifold.

$$1 \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow \tau \qquad \cdots$$

• Consider $n \tau$ -anyons in the plane with total charge τ

- Denote by \mathbf{F}_n the ground state degeneracy
- Then $\mathbf{F}_{n+1} = \mathbf{F}_n + \mathbf{F}_{n-1}$ (Fibonacci sequence).

Exchanging two anyons a and b in the plane can be done in two non-topologically equivalent ways:

Exchanging two anyons a and b in the plane can be done in two non-topologically equivalent ways:



 $R_{a,b}$ refers to the process on the rhs.

Exchanging two anyons a and b in the plane can be done in two non-topologically equivalent ways:



 $R_{a,b}$ refers to the process on the rhs.

Let $V_c^{a,b}$ be the ground states of anyons *a* and *b* with total charge *c*.

Exchanging two anyons a and b in the plane can be done in two non-topologically equivalent ways:



 $R_{a,b}$ refers to the process on the rhs.

Let $V_c^{a,b}$ be the ground states of anyons *a* and *b* with total charge *c*.

• If $V^{a,b}_c$ is one-dimensional and $e^{a,b}_c \in V^{a,b}_c$, then

$$\mathsf{R}_{a,b}\mathsf{e}_c^{a,b}=\mathsf{R}_c^{b,a}\mathsf{e}_c^{b,a}$$

Exchanging two anyons a and b in the plane can be done in two non-topologically equivalent ways:



 $R_{a,b}$ refers to the process on the rhs.

Let $V_c^{a,b}$ be the ground states of anyons *a* and *b* with total charge *c*.

• If $V^{a,b}_c$ is one-dimensional and $e^{a,b}_c \in V^{a,b}_c$, then

$$R_{a,b}e_c^{a,b}=R_c^{b,a}e_c^{b,a}$$

• In general *d*-dimensional spaces $R_c^{b,a}$ is a unitary matrix.

Exchanging two anyons a and b in the plane can be done in two non-topologically equivalent ways:



 $R_{a,b}$ refers to the process on the rhs.

Let $V_c^{a,b}$ be the ground states of anyons *a* and *b* with total charge *c*.

• If $V^{a,b}_c$ is one-dimensional and $e^{a,b}_c \in V^{a,b}_c$, then

$$R_{a,b}e_c^{a,b}=R_c^{b,a}e_c^{b,a}$$

In general *d*-dimensional spaces R_c^{b,a} is a unitary matrix.
R_c^{b,a} is not the inverse of R_c^{a,b}.

The Hexagon Equation



The Hexagon equations enforce the condition that braiding is compatible with fusion. The equations are obtained by imposing the ^{15 / 20}condition that the above diagram commutes.

The Hexagon Equation



Explicit hexagon equation for Fibonacci anyons:

$$R_c^{\tau,\tau}(F_\tau^{\tau\tau\tau})^c_a R_a^{\tau,\tau} = \sum_b (F_\tau^{\tau\tau\tau})^c_b R_\tau^{\tau,b}(F_\tau^{\tau\tau\tau})^b_a$$

The Hexagon Equation



Only two solutions:

15

$$R = \left[egin{array}{cc} e^{i4\pi/5} & 0 \ 0 & e^{-i3\pi/5} \end{array}
ight] \qquad ext{and} \qquad R = \left[egin{array}{cc} e^{-i4\pi/5} & 0 \ 0 & e^{i3\pi/5} \end{array}
ight]$$

In order to analyse computational models of anyons, the physical realisation is not of primary importance.

In order to analyse computational models of anyons, the physical realisation is not of primary importance.

All we need to know are some general properties:

In order to analyse computational models of anyons, the physical realisation is not of primary importance.

All we need to know are some general properties:

• What kind of anyons can occur in this theory?

In order to analyse computational models of anyons, the physical realisation is not of primary importance.

All we need to know are some general properties:

- What kind of anyons can occur in this theory?
- What are the rules for creation/splitting and fusion?
In order to analyse computational models of anyons, the physical realisation is not of primary importance.

- What kind of anyons can occur in this theory?
- What are the rules for creation/splitting and fusion?
- What is the effect of braiding?

In order to analyse computational models of anyons, the physical realisation is not of primary importance.

- \bullet What kind of anyons can occur in this theory? \checkmark
- What are the rules for creation/splitting and fusion?
- What is the effect of braiding?

In order to analyse computational models of anyons, the physical realisation is not of primary importance.

- ullet What kind of anyons can occur in this theory? \checkmark
- \bullet What are the rules for creation/splitting and fusion? \checkmark
- What is the effect of braiding?

In order to analyse computational models of anyons, the physical realisation is not of primary importance.

- \bullet What kind of anyons can occur in this theory? \checkmark
- \bullet What are the rules for creation/splitting and fusion? \checkmark
- What is the effect of braiding? X

In order to analyse computational models of anyons, the physical realisation is not of primary importance.

All we need to know are some general properties:

- ullet What kind of anyons can occur in this theory? \checkmark
- \bullet What are the rules for creation/splitting and fusion? \checkmark
- What is the effect of braiding? X

The answers to these questions define an anyon model or, more technically, a Topological Field Theory in 2 + 1 dimensions as well as a Unitary Topological Modular Functor.

In order to analyse computational models of anyons, the physical realisation is not of primary importance.

All we need to know are some general properties:

- ullet What kind of anyons can occur in this theory? \checkmark
- \bullet What are the rules for creation/splitting and fusion? \checkmark
- What is the effect of braiding? X

The answers to these questions define an anyon model or, more technically, a Topological Field Theory in 2 + 1 dimensions as well as a Unitary Topological Modular Functor.

Simulation of topological field theories by quantum computers, M. Freedman, A. Kitaev and Z. Wang, Comm. in Math. Phy. 227(3): 587-603 — 2002.
A modular functor which is universal for quantum computation, M. Freedman, M. Larsen and Z. Wang, Comm. in Math. Phy. 227(3): 605-622 — 2002.

Universal Quantum Computation





Any N qubit operation can be carried out using these two gates.

$$\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{f} \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1M} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{M1} & \cdots & a_{MM} \end{pmatrix} | \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{i} \rangle$$

The Solovay-Kitaev Theorem

A physical computer cannot perform all elementary operations in the uncountable set of SU(2n).

The Solovay-Kitaev Theorem

A physical computer cannot perform all elementary operations in the uncountable set of SU(2n).

Nevertheless, we can require that any operation in SU(2n) can be approximated to arbitrary precision ε by some countable universal set of operations.

The Solovay-Kitaev Theorem

A physical computer cannot perform all elementary operations in the uncountable set of SU(2n).

Nevertheless, we can require that any operation in SU(2n) can be approximated to arbitrary precision ε by some countable universal set of operations.

Theorem (Solovay-Kitaev)

Let G be a finite set of elements in SU(d) containing its own inverses, and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be the desired accuracy. If G is dense in SU(d), then there exists a constant c s.t. for any $U \in SU(d)$ there exists a finite sequence S of gates in G of length $O(\log^{c}(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}))$ and with $d(U, S) < \varepsilon$.

Simulating Circuits with Fibonacci Anyons



What braid corresponds to this circuit?

Simulating Braids with Quantum Circuits



What circuit corresponds to this braid?