## Pumping Lemma for Regular Languages

A language  $\mathcal{L}$  is *regular* if it is it exactly the set of words accepted by some automaton M. We shall consider a property of all regular languages, which also provides us with a technique to prove that some languages are not regular.

**Pumping Lemma.** Let  $\mathcal{L}_M$  be the language accepted by the automaton  $M = \{A, S, \nu, s_0, F\}^1$  where the number of the states (the cardinality of S) is n. For every string w in  $\mathcal{L}_M$  of length  $|w| \ge n$ , we can break w into three string w = xyz such that

- 1. |y| > 0;
- 2.  $|xy| \leq n;$
- 3. for all  $k \geq 0$ , the string  $xy^k z$  is also in  $\mathcal{L}_M$ .

**Proof.** Let  $w \in \mathcal{L}_M$  be a string of length  $m \ge n$ , i.e.,  $w = a_1 a_2 \dots a_m$ , and let  $s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots s_m$  a list of the states the automaton such that

- (i)  $s_0$  is the initial state and
- (ii)  $\nu(s_i, a_{i+1}) = s_{i+1}$ , for all *i* such that  $0 \le i < m$ .

Namely,  $s_{i+1}$  is the state which M is in after reading  $a_1 \ldots a_{i+1}$ . Since  $w \in \mathcal{L}_M$  is accepted by the automaton M, the state  $w_m$  is final ( $w \in F$ ). Since M has only n states, it is not possible for the states  $s_0, \ldots, s_m$  to be all distinct (*pigeon-hole principle*). Therefore we can find natural numbers i and j with  $0 \le i < j \le n$  such that  $s_i = s_j$ . Now we can break w = xyz as follows:

- 1.  $x = a_1 \dots a_i$ , the subword M reads before reaching state  $s_i$  starting from state  $s_0$ ;
- 2.  $y = a_{i+1} \dots a_j$ , the string M reads before passing from state  $s_i$  to state  $s_j = s_i$ ;
- 3.  $z = a_{j+1} \dots a_m$ , the rest of the string, which is read before reaching the accepting state  $s_m$  from state  $s_j$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Here A is the alphabet, S is the set of internal states,  $\nu$  is the transition function,  $s_0$  is the initial state and F is the set of final, or accepting, states.

Notice that x may be empty (in this case, i = 0) and z may be empty (in this case j = n = m) but the string y is nonempty, by the assumption that i < j.

Consider the behaviour of M with the inputs  $xy^k z$  for  $k \ge 0$ . If k = 0, then after reading x the automaton M is in state  $s_i$  and reads the string z; since  $s_i = s_j$ , after reading the string z the automaton M reaches  $s_m$  from  $s_i$ . But  $s_m$  is an accepting state, hence M accepts the word xz, so xz must be in  $\mathcal{L}_M$ . If k > 0 then after reading x the automaton loops from  $s_i$  to  $s_i$ k times while reading  $y^k$  and then, after reading z, it reaches the accepting state  $s_m$ . Hence M accepts  $xy^k z$  and  $xy^k z$  is in  $\mathcal{L}_M$ . The proof is finished.

**Example:** We may use the Pumping Lemma to show that some languages are not regular. Let A be the alphabet  $\{0, 1\}$  and let

 $\mathcal{L}_{eq} = \{ w \in A^* \mid w \text{ contains the same number of 0's and 1's } \}.$ 

Let M be any automaton which accepts all the words in  $\mathcal{L}_{eq}$ ; we show that M accepts also words which are not in  $\mathcal{L}_{eq}$ . Let n be the number of states of M and consider the word  $w = 0^n 1^n$ : certainly w belongs to  $\mathcal{L}_{eq}$ . If  $s_0 s_1 \ldots s_n \ldots s_{2n}$  is the list of the states M is in while reading w, from the initial state  $s_0$  to the accepting state  $s_{2n}$ , then we must have  $s_i = s_j$  with  $i < j \leq n$  (as M has only n states). Therefore w = xyz with  $x = a_1 \ldots a_i$ ,  $y = a_{i+1} \ldots a_j$  where x and y consist only of 0's. By the Pumping Lemma, any word of the form  $xy^k z$  for  $k \geq 0$  is also accepted by M. Hence xz is also accepted by M; but xz has less 0's than 1's (as y contained only 0's and has been removed from w); thus xz does not belong to  $\mathcal{L}_{eq}$ . Therefore the set  $\mathcal{L}_M$  of words accepted by M is strictly larger than the language  $\mathcal{L}_{eq}$ . Since this fact holds for any automaton M, the language  $\mathcal{L}_{eq}$  is not regular.

**Exercise:** Show that the set  $\mathcal{L}_{(,)}$  of strings of balanced parentheses is not regular. (*Hint:* Let M be any automaton accepting  $\mathcal{L}_{(,)}$ , let n be the number of states in M, let 0 be "(" and 1 be ")" in the word w of the above example.)

**Reference:** J. H. Hopcroft, R. Motwani and J. D. Ullman. *Introduction to Automata Theory, Languages, and Computation*, Addison Wesley, Second Edition, 2001, pp. 126-130.